

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,029	FERLITSCH, ANDREW R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN B. STREGE	2624	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN B. STREGE

(2) WESLEY AUSTIN 42,273

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

CLAIM 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER SUGGESTED INCORPORATING THE LIMITATIONS OF ALLOWABLE CLAIM 10 INTO CLAIM 1 TO EXPEDITE THE PROSECUTION OF THE APPLICATION AS CLAIM 1 ON ITS OWN WOULD BE REJECTED. THE APPLICANT SUGGESTED SOME MINOR CHANGES TO THE LIMITATIONS TO WHICH THE EXAMINER AGREED AND AN EXAMINERS AMENDMENT WAS PREPARED. .